

non-Archimedean Taylor polynomials  
—  
13th International Conference on  $p$ -adic  
Functional Analysis

Enno Nagel  
<http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~nagel>

Paderborn, 13 August 2014

# 1 Iterated Differentials

- One-variable functions
- Many-variable functions

Let us fix a *non-Archimedean* field  $\mathbf{K}$ , that is a field with a real-valued valuation which is

- ▶ non-Archimedean,
- ▶ complete, and
- ▶ non-trivial.

# One-variable functions

A priori, we can formulate the definition of differentiability over a general topological field  $\mathbf{K}$  like we do over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Definition

A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  over an open subset  $X$  of  $\mathbf{K}$  is *differentiable* at  $a$  in  $X$  if there is  $f'(a)$  in  $\mathbf{K}$  such that

$$\frac{f(x_n) - f(a)}{x_n - a} \rightarrow f'(a)$$

for every sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow a$ .

The completeness and non-discreteness of  $\mathbf{K}$  ensure that the *derivative*  $f'(a)$  always exists in  $\mathbf{K}$  and is unique.

However, as  $\mathbf{K}$  is totally disconnected, there is *no counterpart* of

- ▶ the Intermediate Value Theorem, or of
- ▶ the Mean Value Theorem.

Thus we run into various *pathologies*.

- ▶ The differentiable functions with their natural norm form no longer a Banach space,
- ▶ there is a function with everywhere invertible derivative that is nowhere locally invertible, and
- ▶ the function

$$f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n p^n \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n p^{2n}$$

- ▶ is injective, but its derivative is zero everywhere, and
- ▶ infinitely often Archimedeanly differentiable, but its Taylor polynomial of degree greater than 1 does not converge.

[Glö07] and [Yano4] used the analogue of  $f$  to refute other differentiability notions in positive characteristic.

To exclude these pathologies, we strengthen the differentiability definition.

## Definition

A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  over an open subset  $X$  of  $\mathbf{K}$  is continuously differentiable if its *divided difference*

$$f^{[1]}(x, y) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

defined for all distinct  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , extends to a continuous function over all of  $X \times X$ .

For a real-valued function over the real numbers, the mean-value theorem shows that the non-Archimedean and Archimedean differentiability condition are equivalent.

Non-Archimedean differentiability is more natural than Archimedean differentiability in the following sense:

If we consider common facts such as

- the local invertibility around a point in which the derivative is invertible
- the existence of the Taylor polynomial, and
- the completeness of the normed space of differentiable functions

then

- ▶ with the non-Archimedean differentiability definition, they follow *straight from the definition*, whereas
- ▶ with the Archimedean differentiability definition, they are proved by a *detour* either the mean-value theorem or via the fundamental theorem of calculus.



# Many-variable functions

Let  $V$  and  $E$  be two  $K$ -Banach spaces,  $X$  an open subset of  $V$ .

## Definition

The function  $f: X \rightarrow E$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  at  $a$  in  $X$  if there is a continuous  $K$ -linear map  $A: V \rightarrow E$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  around  $a$  inside of  $X$  such that for all  $x + h$ ,  $x$  in  $U$  holds

$$\|f(x + h) - f(x) - A(h)\| \leq \epsilon \|h\|.$$

This non-Archimedean differentiability is stricter than Archimedean differentiability, because

- ▶ In *non-Archimedean* differentiability the offset  $h$  and the expansion point  $x$  varies, whereas
- ▶ in *Archimedean* differentiability  $h$  varies but  $x$  is fixed.

To iterate non-Archimedean differentiability, we introduce coordinates on  $V$  by choosing an ordered basis  $(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d)$  of  $V$ .

## Definition

The *divided difference*  $f^{[1]}(x+h, x)$  of  $f$  at  $x+h, x$  in  $X$  with  $h \in \mathbf{K}^{*d}$  is the  $\mathbf{K}$ -linear map  $A$  with  $k$ -th column vector

$$\frac{f(h_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + h_{k-1} \mathbf{e}_{k-1} + h_k \mathbf{e}_k) - f(h_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + h_{k-1} \mathbf{e}_{k-1})}{h_k}$$

for each  $k = 1, \dots, d$ .

The function  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -function if  $f^{[1]}$  extends to a continuous function  $f^{[1]}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ .

Let us compare domain and codomain of  $f^{[1]}$  with that of  $f$ .

- ▶ The domain  $X^{[1]} := X \times X$  of  $f^{[1]}$  is included in the finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector space  $V^{[1]} = V \times V$  with a canonical ordered basis, like the domain  $X$  of  $f$ , and
- ▶ the codomain  $\mathbf{E}^{[1]} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(V, \mathbf{E})$  of  $f^{[1]}$  is a  $\mathbf{K}$ -Banach space, like the codomain  $\mathbf{E}$  of  $f$ .

We can therefore iterate the non-Archimedean differentiability definition by applying it to  $f^{[1]}$  instead of  $f$ .

## Definition

The function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function if, firstly  $f^{[1]}$  exists, and secondly its divided difference

$$f^{[2]} = (f^{[1]})^{[1]} : (X^{[1]})^{[1]} \rightarrow (\mathbf{E}^{[1]})^{[1]}$$

extends to a continuous function  $f^{[2]}$  over  $X^{[2]} := (X^{[1]})^{[1]} = (X \times X) \times (X \times X)$  that has values in  $\mathbf{E}^{[2]} := (\mathbf{E}^{[1]})^{[1]} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(V \times V, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}}(V, \mathbf{E}))$ .

This definition is conceptually sound but practically cumbersome, because already for a one-variable function  $f$

- ▶  $\nu$ -fold non-Archimedean differentiability is checked by the divided difference  $f^{[\nu]}$ , a function of  $2^\nu$  variables, whereas
- ▶  $\nu$ -fold Archimedean differentiability is checked by the derivative  $f^{(\nu)}$ , a function of 1 variable.

Let us ease our cumber.

## Observation (Schikhof)

The divided difference  $f^{[1]}$  over  $X \times X$  of  $f$  is symmetric in both arguments. This symmetry is passed on to its iterations  $f^{[v]}$ .

## Definition (Schikhof)

Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbf{K}$ . For  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  put

$$X^{[\nu]} = X^{\{0, \dots, \nu\}} \text{ and } X^{[\nu]} := \{(x_0, \dots, x_\nu) \in X^{[\nu]} \mid \text{diff. entries}\}.$$

The  $\nu$ -th divided difference  $f^{[\nu]} : X^{[\nu]} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  of a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is inductively given by  $f^{[0]} = f$  and for  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$f^{[\nu]}(x_0, \dots, x_\nu) = \frac{f^{[\nu-1]}(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_\nu) - f^{[\nu-1]}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\nu)}{x_0 - x_1}$$

and  $f$  is  $\nu$ -times differentiable if  $f^{[\nu]}$  extends to a continuous function  $f^{[\nu]}$  on all of  $X^{[\nu]}$ .

This is a function in  $\nu + 1$  arguments instead of  $2^\nu$  arguments.

Let us look at other descriptions of non-Archimedean differentiability.

## 2 Mahler Basis

- Construction
- Differentiability



## Mahler Basis

Let  $\binom{\cdot}{n}: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  be the  $n$ -th Mahler polynomial defined by

$$\binom{x}{n} = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)/n!.$$

### Theorem

There is an isomorphism of normed  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \text{all zero sequences in } \mathbf{K} \} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbf{K}) \\ (a_n) &\mapsto \sum a_n \binom{\cdot}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Given a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ , we call  $(a_n)$  the *Mahler coefficients* of  $f$ .

That is,  $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is continuous if and only if there is a zero sequence  $(a_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  in  $\mathbf{K}$  such that  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \binom{\cdot}{n}$ .

## Proof.

Let  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}} := \{x \in \mathbf{K} : |x| \leq 1\}$  be the closed unit ball of  $\mathbf{K}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{D}^0(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}) = \{ \text{all continuous linear } v: \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}} \}.$$

- By density of the loc. const. fct's inside of the cts. fct's

$$\mathcal{D}^0(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}[\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}] =: \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]],$$

- by the *Iwasawa isomorphism* that maps the generator  $\mathbf{1}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to  $1 + X$ 

$$\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{K}}[[X]].$$

We obtain by composition

$$\mathcal{D}^0(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbf{K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{all bounded sequences in } \mathbf{K} \}.$$

The Mahler basis isomorphism is the *dual* isomorphism. □

## Theorem

The function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is  $\nu$ -times differentiable if and only if its Mahler coefficients  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d}$  fulfill  $|a_n| |n|^\nu \rightarrow 0$  as  $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 3 Taylor Polynomial

- One variable
- Many variables over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$
- Representation Theory

# One variabe

## Theorem (Schikhof)

A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is  $\nu$ -times differentiable if and only if its Taylor polynomial converges, that is, there are functions

$D^i f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, \nu - 1$  and a function

$R^\nu f: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, \nu} D^i f(x) h^i + R^\nu f(x+h, x) h^\nu \text{ for all } x+h, x \text{ in } X,$$

and  $R^\nu f$  vanishes continuously on the diagonal.

The  $i$ -th derivative  $D^i f$  is a uniquely determined  $\mathcal{C}^{\nu-i}$ -function given by  $D^i f(x) = f^{[i]}(x, \dots, x)$ , and satisfies  $i! D^i f = f^{(i)}$ .

The remainder  $R^\nu f$  is a function of two arguments.

If  $X$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{K}$  without isolated points but not necessarily open, then the convergence of Taylor polynomials

- ▶ implies  $\nu$ -fold differentiability for  $\nu \leq 2$ , but
- ▶ *fails*, that is, there are counterexamples for  $\nu > 2$ .

However, it remains true for the following stronger Taylor polynomial convergence.

## Definition

The *derived Taylor polynomials* of  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  converge if there are functions  $D^0 f, \dots, D^\nu f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that for every  $n = 0, \dots, \nu$  the Taylor polynomial of  $F = D^n f$  converges with  $D^0 F = \binom{n}{n} D^n f, D^1 F = \binom{n+1}{n} D^{n+1} f, \dots, D^{\nu-n} F = \binom{\nu}{n} D^\nu f$ .

The divided difference  $f^{[\nu]}$  of  $f$  is locally a bounded *linear combination*

- ▶ of the derivatives  $D^0 f, \dots, D^\nu f$ , and
- ▶ their Taylor polynomials  $R^\nu D^0 f, \dots, R^0 D^\nu f$

# Many variables over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

## Theorem

Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ . The function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is  $\nu$ -times differentiable if and only if there are

- ▶ continuous functions  $D^n f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  for  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $\mathbb{N}^d$  with  $n_1 + \cdots + n_d = 0, \dots, \nu$ , and
- ▶ a remainder  $R^\nu f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that

$$f(x + h) = \sum_{n_1 + \cdots + n_d = 0, \dots, \nu} D^n f(x) h^n + R^\nu f(x, h)$$

and for every  $a$  in  $X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  inside of  $X$  around  $a$  such that

$$|R^\nu f(x, h)| \leq \varepsilon |h|^\nu \quad \text{for all } x + h, x \text{ in } U.$$



In fact a stronger variant holds true, and follows from the description in terms of the Mahler basis.

## Definition

The function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is  $\nu$ -times differentiable *along the first coordinate* if there are

- ▶ continuous functions  $D^{(n,0,\dots,0)}f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  for  $n = 0, \dots, \nu$ , and
- ▶ a remainder  $R^\nu f: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{n=0,\dots,\nu} D^{(n,0,\dots,0)}f(x)h^n + R^\nu f(x,h)h^\nu$$

and  $R^\nu f$  vanishes continuously over the diagonal.

## Theorem

*The function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is  $\nu$ -times differentiable if it is differentiable along each coordinate.*

## Proof.

A function over the unit ball  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$  is  $\nu$ -times differentiable if and only if its Mahler coefficients  $(a_n)$  satisfy

- ▶  $a_n(n_1 + \cdots + n_d)^\nu \rightarrow 0$  as  $n_1 + \cdots + n_d \rightarrow \infty$ , if and only if
- ▶  $|a_n|n_1^\nu, \dots, |a_n|n_d^\nu \rightarrow 0$  as  $n_1 + \cdots + n_d \rightarrow \infty$ , if and only if
- ▶ the function  $f$  converges along each coordinate.



# Representation Theory

Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a  $p$ -adic number field with ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}$ .

In Representation Theory of  $p$ -adic Lie groups such as  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})$ , there appear  $\mathcal{C}^r$ -functions  $f : \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  for a real number  $r \geq 0$ .

Let's see how they come about.

The most natural method to construct representations of  $G = GL_n(\mathbf{F})$  is by

- ▶ taking a representation  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_d$  of smaller copies  $M = GL_{n_1}(\mathbf{F}) \times \cdots \times GL_{n_d}(\mathbf{F})$  with  $n_1 + \cdots + n_d = n$ , and
- ▶ building the tensor product  $\text{ind}_M^G \chi := \mathbf{K}[G] \otimes_{\mathbf{K}[M]} \chi$ .

## Example

Let  $G = GL_2(\mathbf{F})$  and  $M = \mathbf{F}^* \times \mathbf{F}^*$ . Let  $\chi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^*$  and note that it extends trivially onto the triangular matrices  $P$ . Then

$$\text{ind}_P^G \chi = \{f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{K} \mid f(p \cdot) = \chi(p)f \text{ for all } p \text{ in } P\}$$

and  $G$  acts by right-translation, that is,  $g \cdot f = f(\cdot g)$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ .

Let

$$i(\chi) = \text{ind}_P^G \chi^{\text{lr}} := \{ \text{all locally rational functions in } \text{ind}_P^G \chi \}$$

## Question

Is there a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $i(\chi)$  *invariant* under the action by  $G$ ?

The Breuil-Schneider conjecture predicts for which characters  $\chi$  there is such a norm. Let  $m = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and put

$$r := v_{\mathbf{F}}(\chi(m)) \geq 0$$

The completion of  $i(\chi)$  is a quotient of two copies of the following function space.

We assume that  $\mathbf{K}$  contains the normal closure of  $\mathbf{F}$ , and let  $S$  denote all embeddings  $\mathbf{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}$ . For  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^S$ , we put

- ▶  $n = \sum_{s \in S} n_s$  and,
- ▶ given  $z \in \mathbf{o}$ , we put  $z^{\mathbf{n}} = \prod_{s \in S} s(z)^{n_s}$ .

### Definition (Colmez & de Ieso, [DI13])

The function  $f: \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_T^r$ -function if there are bounded functions  $D^{\mathbf{i}}f: \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  for  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^S$  and  $R_v f: \mathbf{o} \times \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^S \text{ with } n \leq r} D^{\mathbf{n}}f(y)h^{\mathbf{n}} + R^v f(x+h, x),$$

and

$$\Delta^r f(\delta) := \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbf{o}} \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \frac{|R^v f(x_0+h, x_0)|}{\delta^r}$$

is a well-defined function  $\Delta^r f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  which converges to 0 as  $\delta$  does.

## Question (de Ieso, [DI13])

How does the differentiability condition by de Ieso compare with our differentiability condition?



Let us decompose

$$r = v + \rho$$

into an

- ▶ *integral* part  $v = \lfloor r \rfloor \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a
- ▶ *fractional* part  $\rho = \{r\} \in [0, 1[$ .

## $\rho$ -fold differentiability for $\rho \in [0, 1[$

Let  $\rho \in [0, 1[$ . Roughly,  $\rho$ -fold differentiability is stricter Hölder-continuity.

### Definition

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector space, let  $\mathbf{E}$  be a  $\mathbf{K}$ -Banach space and  $X$  an open subset of  $V$ .

The function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\rho$  at  $a$  in  $X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  around  $a$  inside of  $X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|x - y\|^\rho \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U \cap A.$$

The function  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\rho$ -function if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\rho$  at all points  $a \in A$ .

Recall  $\nu$ -fold differentiability via iterated divided differences:

## Definition

The *divided difference*  $f^{[1]}(x+h, x)$  of  $f$  at  $x+h, x$  in  $X$  with  $h \in \mathbf{K}^{*d}$  is the  $\mathbf{K}$ -linear map  $A$  with  $k$ -th column vector

$$\frac{f(h_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + h_{k-1} \mathbf{e}_{k-1} + h_k \mathbf{e}_k) - f(h_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + h_{k-1} \mathbf{e}_{k-1})}{h_k}$$

for each  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . The function  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -function if  $f^{[1]}$  extends to a continuous function  $f^{[1]}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ .

## Definition

The function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function if, firstly  $\mathbf{f} = f^{[1]}$  over  $\mathbf{X} = X \times X$  exists, and secondly its differential  $f^{[2]} = \mathbf{f}^{[1]}$  extends to a continuous function  $f^{[2]}$  over  $X^{[2]} := \mathbf{X}^{[1]}$ .

## $r$ -fold differentiability for $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \dots$

...via iterated divided differences:

### Definition

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{K}$ -vector space, let  $\mathbf{E}$  be a  $\mathbf{K}$ -Banach space and  $X$  an open subset of  $V$ .

The function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is  $r$ -times differentiable if  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^V$ -function, and  $f^{[V]}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^{\rho}$  function.

...via the Mahler basis:

### Theorem

The function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is  $r$ -times differentiable if and only if its Mahler coefficients  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  fulfill  $|a_n| n^r \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Definition (Colmez & de Ieso, [DI13])

The function  $f: \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_T^r$ -function if there are bounded functions  $D^i f: \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  for  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^S$  and  $R_v f: \mathfrak{o} \times \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  such that

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^S \text{ with } n \leq r} D^{\mathbf{n}} f(y) h^{\mathbf{n}} + R^v f(x+h, x),$$

and

$$\Delta^r f(\delta) := \sup_{x_0 \in \mathfrak{o}} \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \frac{|R^v f(x_0 + h, x_0)|}{\delta^r}$$

is a well-defined function  $\Delta^r f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  which converges to 0 as  $\delta$  does.





## Theorem ([Nag14a])

The one-variable function  $f: \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_T^r$ -function if and only if it is  $r$ -times differentiable as many-variable function on  $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

- 1 Iterated Differentials
- 2 Mahler Basis
- 3 Taylor Polynomial

The survey on fractional  $p$ -adic Calculus [Nag14b] is available at

<http://imj-prg.fr/~enno.nagel>.

-  M. De Ieso, *Espaces de fonctions de classe  $C^r$  sur  $\mathbb{O}_F$* , Indag. Math. (N.S.) **24** (2013), no. 3, 530–556. MR 3064559.  
DOI 10.1016/j.indag.2013.02.006.
-  H. Glöckner, *Comparison of some notions of  $C^k$ -maps in multi-variable non-Archimedean analysis*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **14** (2007), no. 5, 877–904. MR 2378995.  
Confer  
<http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.bbms/1197908901>.
-  E. Nagel, *Fractional differentiability over a complete discrete valuation ring*, preprint (2014), 28 p. Confer  
<http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~nagel/publications/CompTaylor.pdf>.
-  ———, *non-Archimedean Fractional Differential Calculus*, preprint (2014), 23 p. Confer <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~nagel/publications/FracDiffSummary.pdf>.



Z. Yang,  $\mathcal{C}^n$ -functions over completions of  $\mathbf{F}_r[T]$  at finite places of  $\mathbf{F}_r[T]$ , J. Number Theory **108** (2004), 346–374.